

**DISCUSSION NOTES  
CAMPUS COMMUNITY COUNCIL  
November 13, 2015**

**November 13 Member Attendees:** JoAnne Boorkman, Matt Carmichael, Adela de la Torre, Marj Dickinson, Karl Engelbach, Rachael Goodhue, John Hess, Ralph Hexter, Linda Katehi, Gaganpreet Kaur, Viji Murali, Darolyn Striley, Dana Topousis and Josh Wild.

**Delegates:** Paul Dodd (on behalf of Harris Lewin)

**Guest:** Mike Sweeney (Campus Council), Don Dudley (Student Judicial Affairs)

**September 11, 2015 Discussion Notes Approved**

**November Discussion Highlights:**

**1. Sexual Assault Adjudication**

- a. Mike Sweeney and Don Dudley discussed legal responsibilities with respect to responding to incidents of student on student sexual violence; due process rights of students subject to discipline; current policies and practices; and the new adjudication model.
- b. It is important to note that university disciplinary proceedings are not criminal trials, and a student is not entitled to the same procedural safeguards afforded criminal defendants.
  - i. Key elements in university procedures:
    1. Provisions for adequate, reliable, and impartial investigation of complaints, including the opportunity for both the complainant and alleged perpetrator to present witnesses and evidence.
    2. Written notice to the complainant and alleged perpetrator of the outcome of the complaint.
    3. Preponderance of the evidence standard for resolving complaints.
- c. There are four stages in the new Adjudication Model (see flowchart for details)
  - i. Stage 0 – Resources
  - ii. Stage 1 – Investigation
  - iii. Stage 2 – Findings and Sanctions
  - iv. Stage 3 - Appeal